





# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/622,290	<b>-</b>	11/09/2000	Waldemar Hans	10191/1541	9759	
26646	7590	03/01/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON		ON	WILSON, LEE D			
ONE BROA NEW YORK		0004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
11211 10111	<b>-,</b>			3723	0 ()	
			•	DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ĺ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
v	09/622,290	HANS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	LEE D WILSON	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of the I will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this comr  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 F	ebruary 2004.					
	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-13 and 15-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-13,15,19 and 20 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)						
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

· Application/Control Number: 09/622,290 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 11-13, 15, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Provost (4551898).

Provost discloses a device having a jacket body (70), a contact section (38), a collar section (32) with threaded holes (42), a radial extension (40), and screws (54). The screws (54) can be used for both assembly and disassembly because the tool can be positioned so that the screw will push on the top of the workpiece is stead of align with the threaded holes inherently.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Provost (4551898).
  - a. Provost is discussed above.

- Application/Control Number: 09/622,290 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

b. In regard to claim 20, Provost discloses the claimed invention except for using deep drawn metal. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the invention using deep drawn metal, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/2/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant states the not all the limitations were considered by the 102 rejection.
  - c. The fuel injection is not being considered a limition which further limits the invention. The workpiece is not being claimed in combination with the tool; therefore, the parameters of the workpiece would be considered when considered the patentable structure of the tool. The jack body has an aperture which is going to enclose the body.
- 8. Applicant states that there is nothing in Provost to suggest a disassembly using the screws.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,290 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

d. The screw 58 could be lower first and then if the screw 54 where engaged they would apply a disassembly force. Depending where the screw 54 is located this will be true. This tool can function this way.

- 9. Applicant states that the 103 is not valid.
  - e. The 103 is valid because the 102 rejection has not been over turned.

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D WILSON whose telephone number is 703-305-4094. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

February 26, 2004

LEED.WILSONIER